Ş

ractitioner's Docket No. M00A214

**PATENT** 

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Hartigan et al.

**Application No.:** 09 /827,947

**Group No.: 2856** 

Filed: April 6, 2001

Examiner: Rodney T. Frank

For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR LIQUEFACTION MONITORING

**Commissioner for Patents** 

P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

#### STATUS INOUIRY

	_				
in patent term adjustment under 3 111-112, June 26, 2001.	a Notice of Allowance may subect an application to a reduction 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(c)(10). See Notice of may 29, 2001, 1247 OG				
1. More than months have page	assed since - (nine)				
☐ NEW APPLICATIONS					
the filing of this application on					
No communication has been received from the Patent and Trademark Office indicating action on this application.					
☐ AMENDED APPLICATIONS	☐ AMENDED APPLICATIONS				
the filing of a response on					
No further communication has been received from the Patent and Trademark Office.					
APPEALED APPLICATION					
The Appeal Brief was file	The Appeal Brief was filed on				
CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10* (When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mandatory; Express Mail certification is optional.)					
I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, the	~				
MI deposited with the United Charles Destat Des	MAILING				
Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	e in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O.				
37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)	37 C.F.R. § 1,10 *				
with sufficient postage as first class mail.	as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"				
Mailing Label No (mandatory)					
TRANSMISSION  facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office, (703)					
Shille Server					
Date: March 31, 2005					
Date: March 31, 2005					

<sup>\*</sup> Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

### (check and complete applicable items below)

	An Examiner's Answer was mailed on
	A Reply to the Examiner's Answer was submitted on
ED	ADDLICATIONS

### XX ALLOWED APPLICATIONS

The mailing of PTOL/85 and payment of Issue Fee recorded and acknowledged by return receipt postcard. Applicant has recieved no further communication from the USPTO.

2. Kindly advise the undersigned of the present status of this application, by checking the appropriate box below. A stamped return-addressed envelope is provided.

NOTE: M.P.E.P. § 203.08 Status Inquiries, 8th Edition, cautions as to the submission of status inquiries as follows:

#### "NEW APPLICATION

٦Ý

"Current examining procedures now provide for the routine mailing from the Technology Centers (TCs) of Form PTOL-37 in every case of allowance of an application. Thus, the mailing of a form PTOL-37 in addition to a formal Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) in all allowed applications would seem to obviate the need for status inquiries even as a precautionary measure where the applicant may believe his or her new application may have been passed to issue on the first examination. However, as an exception, a status inquiry would be appropriate where a Notice of Allowance is not received within three months from receipt of form PTOL-37.

"Current examining procedures also aim to minimize the spread in dates among the various examiner dockets of each art unit and TC with respect to actions on new applications. Accordingly, the dates of the "oldest new applications" appearing in the Official Gazette are fairly reliable guides as to the expected time frames of when the examiners reach the applications or action.

"Therefore, it should be rarely necessary to query the status of a new application.

#### "AMENDED APPLICATIONS

"Amended applications are expected to be taken up by the examiner and an action completed within two months of the date the examiner receives the application. Accordingly, a status inquiry is not in order after reply by the attorney until 5 or 6 months have elapsed with no response from the Office. A postcard receipt for replies to Office actions, adequately and specifically identifying the papers filed, will be considered prima facie proof of receipt of such papers. Where such proof indicates the timely filing of a reply, the submission of a copy of the postcard with a copy of the reply will ordinarily obviate the need for a petition to revive. Proof of receipt of a timely reply to a final action will obviate the need for a petition to revive only if the reply was in compliance with 37 CFR 1.113."

Reg. No.: 32,351

Tel. No.: (908 ) 771-6385

908 771-6159

Customer No.: 20411

SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

David A. Hey

(type or print name of practitioner)

The BOC Group, Inc.

575 Mountain Ave.

P.O. Address

Murray Hill, NJ 07974

FO	RM	9-3	•

## STATUS INQUIRY REPLY

APPLIC	ATIO	ON SERIAL NO. 0.97.827,947	_ IS CURRENTLY	
	ASS	SIGNED TO GROUP	AND AWAITS:	
		ACTION BY THE EXAMINER.		
☐ APPLICANT'S RESPONSE TO THE OFFICE			OFFICE ACTION MAILED	
APPEAL	. NO	)		
	☐ IS AWAITING ACTION BY THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFER ENCES			
		DATE OF HEARING EXPECTED		
		DECISION EXPECTED		